

SM2-TES: Functional Programming and Property-Based Testing, Day 2

Jan Midtgaard

MMMI, SDU

Last time's exercises

Outline

OCaml recap

More OCaml

QuickChecking with QCheck

Testing for properties

OCaml recap

OCaml recap

- By now you've installed OCaml and written/sent your first expression to the toplevel
- Last time we wrote some basic OCaml expressions following the below grammar:

$$\begin{aligned} \textit{topdecl} &::= \textit{exp} \\ &| \mathbf{let} \textit{id} \textit{id} \dots \textit{id} = \textit{exp} \\ \textit{exp} &::= \textit{id} \\ &| \textit{value} \\ &| \textit{exp} + \textit{exp} \quad | \quad \textit{exp} - \textit{exp} \quad | \quad \dots \quad | \quad - \textit{exp} \\ &| \mathbf{fun} \textit{id} \dots \textit{id} \rightarrow \textit{exp} \\ &| \textit{exp} \textit{exp} \dots \textit{exp} \\ &| \mathbf{if} \textit{exp} \mathbf{then} \textit{exp} \mathbf{else} \textit{exp} \\ &| (\textit{exp}) \\ &| \mathbf{let} \textit{id} \textit{id} \dots \textit{id} = \textit{exp} \mathbf{in} \textit{exp} \end{aligned}$$

Read-eval-print loop vs `.ml` files

In the screen casts you saw

- an example of writing a QCheck QuickCheck test directly in the REPL loop
(we finish these *topdecls* with `;;`)
- the same example written to an `.ml` file and compiled with `ocamlbuild` (here `;;` is not required)

Note: separate toplevel expressions in a file by `;;` to distinguish them from calls:

Read-eval-print loop vs `.ml` files

In the screen casts you saw

- an example of writing a QCheck QuickCheck test directly in the REPL loop
(we finish these *topdecls* with `;;`)
- the same example written to an `.ml` file and compiled with `ocamlbuild` (here `;;` is not required)

Note: separate toplevel expressions in a file by `;;` to distinguish them from calls:

$$\textit{topdecls} ::= \textit{exp} \textit{tdrest} \mid \textit{definition} \textit{tdrest}$$
$$\textit{definition} ::= \mathbf{let} \textit{id} \textit{id} \dots \textit{id} = \textit{exp}$$
$$\textit{tdrest} ::= \epsilon \quad \text{(the 'empty production')}$$
$$\mid \textit{;;} \textit{exp} \textit{tdrest}$$
$$\mid \textit{;;} \textit{definition} \textit{tdrest} \quad \text{(colons are optional here)}$$

More OCaml

Recursive functions

Recursive functions are explicitly marked as such with the **rec** keyword:

```
let rec funname id ... id = exp
```

For example:

```
let rec fac n =  
  if n = 0  
  then 1  
  else n * fac (n - 1)
```

To which OCaml responds:

```
val fac : int -> int = <fun>
```

Mutually recursive functions

Recursive functions that call each other should be declared simultaneously with **and**.

For example:

```
let rec is_even n =  
  if n = 0  
  then true  
  else is_odd (n - 1)  
and is_odd n =  
  if n = 0  
  then false  
  else is_even (n - 1)
```

to which OCaml responds:

```
val is_even : int -> bool = <fun>
```

```
val is_odd : int -> bool = <fun>
```

Pattern matching (1/5)

One typically destructs data using pattern matching:

```
match exp with  
  | pattern -> exp  
  | pattern -> exp  
  | ...
```

For example:

```
let bool_to_string b = match b with  
  | true    -> "true"  
  | false  -> "false"
```

Here each pattern is just a constant (literal):

pattern ::= value

Pattern matching (2/5)

OCaml's pattern matching compiler helps ensure that you don't miss a case:

```
let is_valid_bool s = match s with  
  | "true" -> true  
  | "false" -> true
```

Pattern matching (2/5)

OCaml's pattern matching compiler helps ensure that you don't miss a case:

```
let is_valid_bool s = match s with
  | "true" -> true
  | "false" -> true
```

Warning 8: this pattern-matching is not exhaustive.

Here is an example of a value that is not matched:

```
""
val is_valid_bool : string -> bool = <fun>
```

Pattern matching (3/5)

The wildcard pattern can be used to catch “default” behavior:

```
let is_valid_bool s = match s with  
  | "true"    -> true  
  | "false"   -> true  
  | _         -> false
```

which will satisfy OCaml:

```
val is_valid_bool : string -> bool = <fun>
```

The exhaustiveness check is your friend.

Don't use wildcards everywhere just to “make it shut up”.

Pattern matching (4/5)

Beware that the pattern matching order now matters:

```
let is_valid_bool s = match s with  
  | _           -> false  
  | "true"     -> true  
  | "false"    -> true
```

Pattern matching (4/5)

Beware that the pattern matching order now matters:

```
let is_valid_bool s = match s with
| _           -> false
| "true"     -> true
| "false"    -> true
```

Warning 11: this match case is unused.

Warning 11: this match case is unused.

```
val is_valid_bool : string -> bool = <fun>
```

Underscores add another possible grammar production:

$$\textit{pattern} ::= _ \mid \textit{value}$$

Pattern matching (5/5)

Patterns can also bind variables which will be visible within the pattern's right-hand-side:

```
let rec fac n = match n with  
  | 0 -> 1  
  | m -> m * fac (m - 1)
```

to which OCaml (again) responds:

```
val fac : int -> int = <fun>
```

Our extended pattern grammar now reads:

$$\textit{pattern} ::= _ \mid \textit{id} \mid \textit{value}$$

Tuples

Tuples are one way to combine types to build new ones:

```
type point3d = int * int * int
```

which declares `point3d` as an alias for `int` triples

OCaml will infer tuple types

(you don't need to declare them):

```
# let mypair = (1, 2);;  
val mypair : int * int = (1, 2)
```

One can project data from pairs with `fst` and `snd`:

```
# snd mypair;;  
- : int = 2
```

Tuple matching

One can also pattern match (here: on pair) using **let**:

```
let distance_from_origo p =  
  let (x,y) = p in  
  let sqr_dist = x*x + y*y in  
  sqrt (float_of_int sqr_dist)
```

for which OCaml infers the type:

```
val distance_from_origo : int * int -> float = <fun>  
(simple.ml from the screen cast also does this)
```

Tuple matching

One can also pattern match (here: on pair) using **let**:

```
let distance_from_origo p =  
  let (x,y) = p in  
  let sqr_dist = x*x + y*y in  
  sqrt (float_of_int sqr_dist)
```

for which OCaml infers the type:

```
val distance_from_origo : int * int -> float = <fun>  
(simple.ml from the screen cast also does this)
```

This match is equivalent to **match** p **with** (x,y) ->

Tuple matching

One can also pattern match (here: on pair) using **let**:

```
let distance_from_origo p =  
  let (x,y) = p in  
  let sqr_dist = x*x + y*y in  
  sqrt (float_of_int sqr_dist)
```

for which OCaml infers the type:

```
val distance_from_origo : int * int -> float = <fun>  
(simple.ml from the screen cast also does this)
```

This match is equivalent to **match** p **with** (x,y) ->

Alternatively one can pattern match directly in the function header:

```
let distance_from_origo' (x,y) =  
  let sqr_dist = x*x + y*y in  
  sqrt (float_of_int sqr_dist)
```

Lists

Lists are created inductively from the empty list `[]` and the cons operator `::`

```
# let mylist = 1::2::3::[];;  
val mylist : int list = [1; 2; 3]
```

In Java we would (probably) write this type as

```
List<Integer>
```

Lists

Lists are created inductively from the empty list `[]` and the cons operator `::`:

```
# let mylist = 1::2::3::[];;  
val mylist : int list = [1; 2; 3]
```

In Java we would (probably) write this type as

```
List<Integer>
```

As a short hand one can also write list literals with square brackets and semicolon as element separator:

```
# let mylist' = [0;1;2;3];;  
val mylist' : int list = [0; 1; 2; 3]
```

Lists

Lists are created inductively from the empty list `[]` and the cons operator `::`:

```
# let mylist = 1::2::3::[];;  
val mylist : int list = [1; 2; 3]
```

In Java we would (probably) write this type as

```
List<Integer>
```

As a short hand one can also write list literals with square brackets and semicolon as element separator:

```
# let mylist' = [0;1;2;3];;  
val mylist' : int list = [0; 1; 2; 3]
```

One can concatenate lists with `@`:

```
# mylist@mylist;;
```

```
SDU  : int list = [1; 2; 3; 1; 2; 3]
```


Lists, polymorphically

We can now write structurally recursive functions over lists.

Since a list has two cases (empty, non-empty), a code skeleton is immediate from the type:

```
let rec length l = match l with  
  | [] -> ...  
  | elem::elems -> ...
```

Lists, polymorphically

We can now write structurally recursive functions over lists.

Since a list has two cases (empty, non-empty), a code skeleton is immediate from the type:

```
let rec length l = match l with  
  | [] -> 0  
  | elem::elems -> ...
```

Lists, polymorphically

We can now write structurally recursive functions over lists.

Since a list has two cases (empty, non-empty), a code skeleton is immediate from the type:

```
let rec length l = match l with  
  | [] -> 0  
  | elem::elems -> 1 + length elems
```

Lists, polymorphically

We can now write structurally recursive functions over lists.

Since a list has two cases (empty, non-empty), a code skeleton is immediate from the type:

```
let rec length l = match l with  
  | [] -> 0  
  | elem::elems -> 1 + length elems
```

OCaml infers a polymorphic type for this definition:

```
val length : 'a list -> int = <fun>
```

The corresponding generic Java method would accept a `List<X>` and return a Java `int`

Labeled arguments

OCaml supports labeled (named) arguments

The syntax for the receiver (the formal parameters) is:

```
let id ~label:pattern ... ~label:pattern = exp
```

Example: **let** mymod ~num:n ~modulus:m = n **mod** m

Labeled arguments

OCaml supports labeled (named) arguments

The syntax for the receiver (the formal parameters) is:

```
let id ~label:pattern ... ~label:pattern = exp
```

Example: **let** mymod ~num:n ~modulus:m = n **mod** m

A short-hand is available for arguments that don't need pattern matching (labels and patterns agree):

```
let id ~label ... ~label = exp
```

Example: **let** mymod ~num ~modulus = num **mod** modulus

Labeled arguments

OCaml supports labeled (named) arguments

The syntax for the receiver (the formal parameters) is:

```
let id ~label:pattern ... ~label:pattern = exp
```

Example: **let** mymod ~num:n ~modulus:m = n **mod** m

A short-hand is available for arguments that don't need pattern matching (labels and patterns agree):

```
let id ~label ... ~label = exp
```

Example: **let** mymod ~num ~modulus = num **mod** modulus

Functions are also invoked with labels

id ~label ... ~label in no particular order:

```
# mymod ~modulus:4 ~num:10;;
```

```
SDU 🍇 : int = 2
```

Optional arguments

In addition OCaml supports optional arguments:
arguments which may or may not be supplied.

```
let id ?(label = exp) ... ?(label = exp) = exp
```


When absent the receiver assumes a *default value*

For example:

```
let distance ?(src = (0,0)) (tx,ty) =  
  let (sx,sy) = src in  
  let xdiff = tx - sx in  
  let ydiff = ty - sy in  
  let sqr_dist = xdiff*xdiff + ydiff*ydiff in  
  sqrt (float_of_int sqr_dist)
```

which we can invoke as a labeled argument:

```
# distance ~src:(1,1) (4,5);;
```

```
SDU  float = 5.
```


The standard library

- OCaml includes a decent standard library:

<http://caml.inria.fr/pub/docs/manual-ocaml/libref/>

- All bindings in the module `Stdlib` (was: `Pervasives`) are visible at the top level.
- Many of the functions we have covered (and more) come from `Stdlib` – so have a look :-)
- Note: There are at least 3 other competing “standard libraries”. We’ll stick to the one from the standard distribution.

QuickChecking with QCheck

From one to many tests

Last time we saw how to write one test:

```
let mytest =  
  Test.make float (fun f -> floor f <= f)
```

Most often we want to check more than one thing

We can do so by writing individual tests:

```
let floor_test =  
  Test.make float (fun f -> floor f <= f)  
let ceil_test =  
  Test.make float (fun f -> f <= ceil f)
```

and running them all:

```
let _ = QCheck_runner.run_tests  
  [floor_test;  
   ceil_test]
```

Naming tests and increasing test iterations

Both `Test.make` and `QCheck_runner.run_tests` support a range of labeled, optional arguments, e.g.:

- `~name:s` sets the title of a test to the string `s`
- `~count:n` sets the number of test runs to `n`

while option `~verbose:true` makes the test run's output a bit more informative. For example:

```
# let floor_test = Test.make ~name:"floor_test" ~count:300
                                float (fun f -> floor f <= f) in
  QCheck_runner.run_tests ~verbose:true [floor_test];;
```

```
random seed: 25032179
```

```
generated error fail pass / total      time test name
[✓]  300      0      0  300 /  300      0.0s floor test
```

```
=====
success (ran 1 tests)
```

```
- : int = 0
```

```
#
```

Running QCheck from the command line

QCheck provides `QCheck_runner.run_tests_main` as an alternative way to drive a test suite:

```
let floor_test = Test.make float (fun f -> floor f <= f)
let ceil_test  = Test.make float (fun f -> f <= ceil f)
;; (* important to distinguish the last call
    from additional arguments to Test.make *)
QCheck_runner.run_tests_main [floor_test; ceil_test]
```

By default this runs non-verbose (with little output).

The command-line argument `--verbose` (or `-v`) has the same effect as passing `~verbose:true` to `QCheck_runner.run_tests`

In addition it accepts `--seed` (or `-s`) for seeding the randomization (useful to recreate a problem)

A QCheck note on iteration count

In QCheck the `~count : n` parameter is bounded upwards by the option `~max_gen : m` which may surprise:

```
# let floor_test = Test.make ~count:10000 ~max_gen:1000
                                float (fun f -> floor f <= f) in
  QCheck_runner.run_tests ~verbose:true [floor_test];;
random seed: 186572156
generated error  fail  pass / total      time test name
[✓]   1000      0    0  1000 / 10000      0.0s anon_test_1
=====
success (ran 1 tests)
```

If specified, it is a good idea to supply a `~max_gen` option greater than the `~count` option.

A QCheck note on iteration count

In QCheck the `~count : n` parameter is bounded upwards by the option `~max_gen : m` which may surprise:

```
# let floor_test = Test.make ~count:10000 ~max_gen:1000
                                float (fun f -> floor f <= f) in
  QCheck_runner.run_tests ~verbose:true [floor_test];;
random seed: 186572156
generated error  fail  pass / total      time test name
[✓]   1000      0      0 1000 / 10000      0.0s anon_test_1
=====
success (ran 1 tests)
```

If specified, it is a good idea to supply a `~max_gen` option greater than the `~count` option.

The **default value** for the optional parameter `~max_gen` is the value of `~count + 200`.

The **default value** for `~count` is 100

Testing properties with preconditions (1/3)

In QCheck with `==>` we can also express properties involving a precondition:

```
let is_even i = (i mod 2 = 0)
let is_odd i = (i mod 2 = 1)
let succ_test =
  Test.make ~name:"succ_test"
    pos_int (fun i -> (is_even i) ==> (is_odd (succ i)))
```

Not all generated input will satisfy the precondition:

```
generated error fail pass / total      time test name
[✓]  201      0     0 100 / 100      0.0s succ test
```


Testing properties with preconditions (1/3)

In QCheck with `==>` we can also express properties involving a precondition:

```
let is_even i = (i mod 2 = 0)
let is_odd i = (i mod 2 = 1)
let succ_test =
  Test.make ~name:"succ_test"
    pos_int (fun i -> (is_even i) ==> (is_odd (succ i)))
```

Not all generated input will satisfy the precondition:

```
generated error fail pass / total      time test name
[✓]  201      0      0 100 / 100      0.0s succ test
```

Alternatively we can express the implication via the well-known encoding $[p \implies q] \iff [\neg p \vee q]$ but doing so loses track of failed preconditions:

```
[✓]  100      0      0 100 / 100      0.0s succ test'
```

Q: Does this lead to fewer or more tests of `succ`?

Testing properties with preconditions (2/3)

In using `==>` we need to generate more input to have enough that satisfies the precondition.

For this reason the default `max_gen` is 300 for the default `count` of 100 (a factor 3).

Setting `max_gen` to, e.g., 200 will limit the number of tests further:

```
generated error fail pass / total      time test name
[✓]  200      0    0  95 / 100      0.0s succ test
```

When generation is expensive **you may want to limit it.**

Alternative: Write a custom generator whose output is guaranteed to satisfy the precondition (more later)

Testing properties with preconditions (3/3)

Be careful that `==>` evaluates its arguments eagerly.

As a consequence side-effects on the right-hand-side of `==>` **are not guarded by the left-hand-side**, e.g.:

```
Test.make ~name:"div_test" small_signed_int
  (fun i -> (i <> 0) ==> (42 / i >= 0))
```

will thus error:

```
generated error fail pass / total      time test name
[X]   45      1      0   44 / 100      0.0s div test
```

```
=== Error =====
```

```
Test div test errored on (0 shrink steps):
```

```
0
```

```
exception Division_by_zero
```

```
=====
```

```
failure (0 tests failed, 1 tests errored, ran 1 tests)
```

Testing for properties

Properties and generators

- We've seen how to write properties as Boolean valued functions and
- implication properties using QCheck's builtin `==>`
- We've also seen some builtin generators
 - `float`
 - `pos_int`, `small_signed_int`
 - ...
- There are many more (see the API):

<http://c-cube.github.io/qcheck/0.16/>

Observing generators

It is simple to observe a generator with

`Gen.generate1`:

```
# Gen.generate1 float.gen;;  
- : float = 259083.184082186432  
# Gen.generate1 float.gen;;  
- : float = -0.000129108526967527053
```

There's also `Gen.generate` which accepts labeled argument `n`:

```
# Gen.generate ~n:5 small_int.gen;;  
- : int list = [374; 7; 29; 0; 3]
```

Which properties?

So far, we've seen examples of testing immediate properties of functions (`floor`, `succ`, ...)

Admittedly, these properties are not always easy to come up with... :-/

Sometimes we are interested in **testing agreement** between two implementations:

- an initial version vs.
- a revised/optimized version

where one then acts as **oracle** to the other.

Which properties?

So far, we've seen examples of testing immediate properties of functions (`floor`, `succ`, ...)

Admittedly, these properties are not always easy to come up with... :-/

Sometimes we are interested in **testing agreement** between two implementations:

- an initial version vs.
- a revised/optimized version

where one then acts as **oracle** to the other.

This approach is also referred to as **differential testing**.

For example: a data structure with poor and improved O -bounds on time (or space) complexity

Testing pairs (1/2)

Suppose we write a (terrible) recursive version of multiplication by repeated shifting:

```
let rec mymult n m = match n, m with  
  | 0, _ -> 0  
  | _, 0 -> 0  
  | _, _ ->  
    let tmp = mymult (n lsr 1) m in  
    if n land 1 = 0  
    then (tmp lsl 1)  
    else (tmp lsl 1) + m
```

Hopefully this version agrees with the builtin `*`:

$$\forall n, m. \text{mymult } n \ m = n * m$$

To test it, we need to **generate pairs of integers**

Testing pairs (2/2)

We can do so using

```
pair : 'a arbitrary -> 'b arbitrary -> ('a * 'b) arbitrary
```

which forms a **pair generator** out of a **pair of generators**
(read `'a arbitrary` as “generator of 'as”)

With `pair` in hand the test is straightforward:

```
Test.make ~name:"mymult, *_agreement"  
  (pair int int) (fun (n,m) -> mymult n m = n * m)
```

... and the two operations seems to agree:

```
generated error fail pass / total      time test name  
[✓] 100      0    0 100 / 100      0.0s mymult,* agreement
```

(for negative numbers – with the sign-bit set – this is not immediately obvious)

Testing lists: type parameters (1/3)

`List.rev` has type `'a list -> 'a list`
(for any `'a`). Suppose we want to test 3 properties of it:

$$\forall x. \text{List.rev } [x] = [x]$$

$$\forall xs. \text{List.rev}(\text{List.rev } xs) = xs$$

$$\forall xs, ys. \text{List.rev}(xs@ys) = (\text{List.rev } ys)@(\text{List.rev } xs)$$

We have to test it for a concrete type parameter, e.g.,
`int`.

The first property is now straightforward to write:

```
let rev_sgl_test =  
  Test.make ~name:"rev_single"  
    int (fun x -> List.rev [x] = [x])
```

Testing lists: generators (2/3)

We need to **generate arbitrary lists** to test the second property $\forall xs. \text{List.rev}(\text{List.rev } xs) = xs$.

We can write one using a builtin generator:

```
list : 'a arbitrary -> 'a list arbitrary
```

where the parameter generates the elements

The second property can now be tested as follows:

```
let rev_twice_test =  
  Test.make ~name:"rev_twice"  
    (list int)  
    (fun xs -> List.rev (List.rev xs) = xs)
```

Testing lists: generating pairs/tuples (3/3)

To test the third property

$\forall xs, ys. \text{List.rev}(xs@ys) = (\text{List.rev } ys)@(\text{List.rev } xs)$

we need to **generate arbitrary pairs of lists**.

Again we do so using `pair`:

```
let rev_concat_test =  
  Test.make ~name:"rev_concat"  
    (pair (list int) (list int))  
    (fun (xs, ys) ->  
      List.rev (xs @ ys)  
        = (List.rev ys) @ (List.rev xs))
```

Similarly `triple` can form **triple generators**, ...

Summary

We can

- write general properties (in QCheck)
 - as Boolean-valued functions
 - with preconditions using `==>`
 - that test two implementations against each other
- formulate generators
 - based on builtin ones `int`, `pos_int`, `float`,
 - for tuples with `pair`, `triple`,...
 - for lists with `list`